

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/751,294	01/02/2004	Chih-Hsin Wang	Vang CFP-2365 (15722/616) 2384			
23595 7590 10/14/2005 NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 820			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			PARSLEY, DAVID J			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		3643			

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Applicant(s)		
WANG, CHIH-HSIN		
Art Unit		
3643		

•		7.1. Office					
	David J. Parsley	3643					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	•				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nota a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date.	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ','						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	•	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	<del></del>	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered or b) □ wi	II he entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	vided below or appended.	in be entered and any	Apianation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-7 and 10-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	f						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it hafara as an tha data of filing a N	-4:					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
		TER M. POON					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10/12/05

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the addition of the limitations "...wherein the first lateral member further comprises a third cylinder having an axis parallel to and spaced form the first recess, with the reduced opening of the first reces extending in a direction from the first recess opposite to the third cylinder, with the third cylinder to be wound by an end of the collar..." and "...wherein the second lateral member further comprises a fourth cylinder having an axis parallel to and spaced from the second recess, with the reduced opening of the second recess extending in a direction from the second recess opposite to the fourth cylinder, with the fourth cylinder to be wound by an end of the collar..." in claim 16 and "...with the first, reduced opening extending from the first recess opposite to the second recess and the second reduced opening extending from the second recess opposite to the first recess, with the first and second, reduced openings extending in opposite directions from the first and second recesses in the central member..." in claim 18 all raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.